

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/491,991	01/26/2000	Dean Cheng	081862.P167	9322
759	90 11/19/2002			
Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP 12400 Wi8lshire Boulevard 7th Floor			EXAMINER	
			TODD, GREGORY G	
Los Angeles, CA 90025			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2157	
			DATE MAILED: 11/19/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

Tu

		- PN			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	09/491,991	CHENG ET AL.			
Onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The ORAH INC DATE of the	Gregory G Todd	2157			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 J	anuary 2000 .	•			
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-51</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-51</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) $\boxtimes$ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 January 2000</u> is/are: a) $\boxtimes$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorit</li> <li>application from the International Bure</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list o</li> </ul>	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	· ·			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language prov	isional application has been rece	eived.			
Attachment(s)	. ,				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal Page	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This is a first office action in response to application filed, with the above serial number, on 26 January 2000 in which claims 1-51 are presented for examination. Claims 1-51 are therefore pending in the application.

### **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not 1. include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: 113. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis 2. for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1-7, 10-15, 18-24, 27-32, 35-41, and 44-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as 3. being clearly anticipated by Fukuta et al (hereinafter "Fukuta", 5,090,011).
- As per Claims 1 and 18, Fukuta discloses a method and a computer program product, wherein Fukuta discloses:

determining a congestion status associated with a node in the network (at least col. 4, lines 55-62; col. 7, lines 39-47); and

advertising the congestion status to at least one other node in the network (at least Fig. 1, 13).

5. As per Claims 2, 19, and 36.

measuring a node condition (threshold value) at the node, the node condition corresponding to the congestion status (at least col. 12, lines 1-15).

- As per Claims 3, 20, and 37.
   setting a transit flag, the transit flag being accessible to the at least one other node (at least col. 15, lines 19-26).
- 7. As per Claims 4, 12, 21, 29, 38, and 46. the node is one of a transit node and a terminating node (at least Fig. 13).
- 8. As per Claims 5, 13, 22, 30, 39, and 47. the node is a logical node in a hierarchical network, the logical node corresponding to a peer group of nodes (at least col. 6, lines 14-28; Fig. 11A, 11B, 4, 16).
- 9. As per Claims 6, 23, and 40.

the at least one other node is one other logical node in the hierarchical network, the one other logical node corresponding to one other peer group of nodes (packet switch and packet terminal equipment) (at least col. 6, lines 14-28; Fig. 11A, 11B, 4, 16).

- 10. As per Claims 7, 15, 24, 32, 41, and 49.the network is an asynchronous mode transfer (ATM) network (at least col. 1, lines 11-20).
- 11. As per Claims 10 and 27, Fukuta discloses a method and a computer program product to manage congestion in a network, the method comprising:

receiving a congestion status (congestion notice) associated with a node in the network, the congestion status corresponding to a measured node condition at the node; and

routing a call to the node based on the received congestion status (polling) (at least Fig. 26; col. 16, lines 21-40).

As per Claims 11, 28, and 45. 12.

accessing a transit flag set by the node, the transit flag corresponding to the congestion status (at least col. 15, lines 54-64)...

- 13. As per Claims 14, 31, and 48.
  - routing the call to the node if the node is a terminating node; and

routing the call to the node if the node is a transit node and the congestion status indicates that the node is not congested (polling) (at least Fig. 13, 26; col. 16, lines 21-40).

As per Claim 35, Fukuta discloses a system interfacing to a network wherein Fukuta discloses: 14. a processor coupled to the network (at least col. 15, lines 19-26); and a memory coupled to the processor (at least col. 15, lines 19-26)., the memory managing

congestion in the network, when executed causing the processor to:

determine a congestion status associated with a node in the network (at least col. 4, lines 55-62; col. 7, lines 39-47); and

advertise the congestion status to at least one other node in the network (at least Fig. 1, 13).

15. As per Claim 44, Fukuta discloses a system interfacing to a network wherein Fukuta discloses: a processor coupled to the network (at least col. 15, lines 19-26); and a memory coupled to the processor (at least col. 15, lines 19-26), the memory managing

congestion in the network, when executed causing the processor to:

receive a congestion status (congestion notice) associated with a node in the network, the congestion status corresponding to a measured node condition at the node; and route a call to the node based on the received congestion status (polling) (at least Fig. 26; col. 16, lines 21-40).

Application/Control Number: (

Art Unit: 2157

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

16. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

91.991

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 17. Claims 8-9, 16-17, 25-26, 33-34, 42-43, and 50-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fukuta in view of Rochberger et al (hereinafter "Rochberger", 6,456,600).
- 18. As per Claims 8, 16, 25, 33, 42, and 50.

Fukuta fails to disclose the node being one of a private network-to-network interface (PNNI) node. However, the use and advantages for using such an interface is well known to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made as evidenced by the teachings of Rochberger. Rochberger discloses using a PNNI interface within his ATM network (at least col. 2, lines 1-15, 36-46; col. 3, lines 16-30). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate and implement the use of a PNNI node in an ATM network being able to monitor and advertise congestion statuses with other nodes on the network since it would allow for the PNNI node to operate over existing network implementations and therefore enhance the expendability and compatibility of Fukuta's network.

19. As per Claims 9, 17, 26, 34, 43, and 51.

Fukuta fails to disclose the transit flag being one of a PNNI topology state parameter. However, the use and advantages for using such an interface is well known to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made as evidenced by the teachings of Rochberger. Rochberger discloses using PNNI topology state packets within his ATM network (at least col. 2, lines 1-15, 36-46; col. 3,



lines 16-30). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate and implement the use of a PNNI topology state in an ATM network enabling monitoring and advertising congestion statuses with other nodes on the network since it would allow for the PNNI node to operate over existing network implementations and therefore enhance the expendability and compatibility of Fukuta's network by having the PNNI parameters encapsulated within the packets used on the ATM network.

#### Conclusion

- 20. Yamato et al, Cha et al, Fukuta et al, Pajuvirta et al, Mairs et al, Daines et al, Song, Murase, Nishihara, Ginossar, Kirschenbaum, and Milles are cited for disclosing pertinent information related to the claimed invention. Applicants are requested to consider the prior art reference for relevant teachings when responding to this office action.
- 21. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory G Todd whose telephone number is (703)305-5343. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Friday 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Ario Etienne can be reached on (703)308-7562. The fax phone numbers for the organization where
this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)746-9153 for regular communications and
(703)305-7201 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-3900.

Art Ùnit: 2157

gt November 15, 2002

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100